

# Debate Is Over Folks; Facts Came In

## The Market Ticker - Cancelled ® - What 'They' Don't Want Published


### Debate Is Over Folks; Facts Came In

[Comments enabled]

2021-12-07 08:39 by Karl Denninger

in \*\*\*\*\*-19 , 23680 references 

**It's time for apologies, for those harmed criminal charges against those issuing coercion, and if they are refused then its time to decide whether you're going to put up with so-called "medical people" and "politicians" who are more interested in money and fear than facts.**



This is such a *huge* data set that its statistical power is undeniable. It comes from a nation we *claim* to have first-world status, and where *medical records are cohesive and thus very hard to run into accidental or intentional hiding*. And while it is not (yet) peer-reviewed unless serious statistical problems are found the data damn well ought to be paid attention to.

It also includes and in fact *is almost exclusive to Delta*, which if you remember was going to be the death of everyone not-vaccinated, *even if previously infected* with another strain. And finally, *and most-germane here*, it includes the cohorts that *nobody else* has reported on -- *those who had both vaccination and infection as immunity-generating events*.

**It turns out the claims that "you must get jabbed", especially if you were previously infected, were a damned lie.**

Due to the nearly-universal vaccination in this nation (Israel) *there is no longer a control group* of unvaccinated people of sufficient size to provide good data. *How convenient!*

In addition there's a **very important** statistical fact in here *that is the point of this article* -- **severe cases**, which can progress to critical or fatal outcomes **and are the only ones** that matter. *None of the others do*. Not only are PCR tests known unreliable but in addition *who cares if you sneeze?* We've known for decades that coronaviruses run on a roughly four-year cycle in the population and this means that *reinfection is, unless this virus is somehow not really a coronavirus, a near-certainty*. Just as in gunfights where **only the hits count** when it comes to respiratory viruses *only the severe (or worse) cases count*.

In addition there is enough internal data in the tables to tease apart *whether the expected patterns are there*; specifically, whether the younger people get hammered less-frequently, and if so, is the cohort matching reasonable. The answer to both, which is a reasonable check on the base claims, is "Yes."

So let's get to it.

**If you were infected and recovered** your risk of a *severe* outcome, *if you got infected*, was 0.18% under 39, 1.1% if 40-59 and 7.8% if you were over 60. This doesn't sound very good for the old people, does it?

**Ah, but if you were vaccinated and boosted (best case, right?) what were the odds if you got infected?**

0.1% if under 39 (too few events for good statistical power; there was only one), 0.6% if 40-59 (looks pretty good) **but 6.2% if over 60**. In other words *even if boosted* the infection rate that went sour on you *if you're old* means the jabs **are basically worthless** compared against prior infection.

And if just vaccinated *but not* boosted? Comparatively you're \*\*\*\*\*ed, right? Or are you?

Uh, for 16-39 your risk *there* was 0.05% (!!!), for 40-59 it was 0.6% (!! ) and for 60+ it was 8.1%.

**In other words among infections that matter being boosted had negative or no efficiency when it comes to severe outcomes for everyone under 60!**

What if you got jabbed *after* being infected? This is data I've been looking for, and while the data points are thin and thus I'm not happy with the lack of statistical power, well, read it for yourself. Under 40 the risk of *severe* reinfection was 0.2%, from 40-59 it was 2.4% and for 60+ it was a stunning 10%.

**IN OTHER WORDS BEING JABBED AFTER RECOVERING INCREASES YOUR RISK OF A SEVERE OUTCOME.**

For the other way around, where you got jabbed *and then got infected*, *there were too few events except in one cohort, 60+, to draw good conclusions as there were lots of zeros -- but small infection counts.* However, the news there isn't good either in that in the 60+ cohort the severe risk if you got infected was 12.5% (!!!)

Ok, ok you say, but *being vaccinated drops the infection risk.* Indeed. But it drops it **less**, except in the 0-2 months since jabbed, than being recovered does. **Indeed the loss of immunity from vaccination is nearly linear while for those infected the loss appears to taper significantly after the first six months and residual protection may be of very long duration or even permanent.**

**Indeed, someone who has been infected (but not jabbed) has a lower person-day risk of reinfection by more than half at one year post-event than someone who has been vaccinated has at four to six months.**

The bad news does not end here. While being jabbed *after recovery* is claimed to produce "superior" results ("hybrid immunity") the data says that's flat-out bull\*\*\*\*. **At 4-6 and 6-8 months the error bands for vaccination after recovery and pure recovery without it cross; there is no statistical evidence that being jabbed after recovery helps and evidence it HARMS BY AS MUCH AS A DOUBLE in terms of the risk of severe outcome.**

**The other way around is even worse; the evidence is that if you get infected after being jabbed you do not get the same protection as natural infection in that your immunity wanes *faster*; at 6-8 months you have a **LOWER** risk of infection if you were not vaccinated before the infection *as opposed to being vaccinated and then infected.***

**In other words this data provides direct evidence of VEI.**

To summarize:

- *Natural immunity* is more-protective than vaccination **and not a little either.** It is more than **double** the effective protection beyond the first two months after being vaccinated. *In other words being jabbed not only is a poor second choice in terms of generating immunity it cannot be considered comparable in any way.*
- *In the younger cohorts* being jabbed beats being recovered *for severe outcomes if you get infected.* But when adjusted for odds of infection **it loses, badly, beyond the first two months.** This is *very important* because the odds of a severe outcome for a young person are quite low in the first place. In short **there is a clean argument that a young person, due to the decay of immunity from the jabs, is better off being infected as their immunity is more-durable and on an infection-risk adjusted basis if**

**previously infected they are less-likely, by quite a lot, to have a severe outcome on a second encounter.** This of course *ignores* early treatment that may reduce severe outcome risk -- which nobody who is other than desirous of a large body count would ignore. *We haven't ignored early treatment on purpose have we?*

- While it is *true* that being jabbed after or before infection does indeed reduce the risk of being *infected* with a third immunity-generating event if you do get infected **it has demonstrated negative effectiveness in the recovered cohort when it comes to severe outcomes.** It will be very interesting to see how this plays as time goes on because many of these infections occurred quite-recently with Delta and given the known *much slower* decay of immunity from infection than vaccination there is a confounding factor that, in combination with the low event count, leaves us with a jury that is still out **in this specific case.**
- **Given that vaccination after infection increases the risk of severe outcomes over someone with natural immunity if you get a second infection being vaccinated after infection is likely harmful, and not a little either.** Getting infected again *after infection and then vaccination* is a **third** immunity-generating event. There is no data on this via the *natural* route (that is, infected, recovered, *infected again and recovered*, and then infected a **third** time.) **Given the deterioration in protection from severe outcome if infected after recovery and then vaccination, which is quite significant for all except the youngest cohort, it is likely that being infected twice not only produces superior resistance to infection it also avoids the severe outcome risk increase.**

Note that *none* of this includes the risk from the jabs themselves. To the mortality and morbidity ("severe" outcomes) *you must also add that which comes directly from the medication, since no drug is ever without said risks.*

What is clear is that *natural immunity is superior* both in terms of protection from repeat infection **and from severe outcomes.** In addition being boosted *had negative or no effectiveness in preventing severe outcomes* among everyone **except** those under 40, where there were too few events to get clean statistical evidence. **This implies that vaccinated immunity, when "refreshed", does not alter the course of a breakthrough infection yet that was the remaining leg on which the argument rested, that it prevented severe outcomes.** That should be evident in the data with a *significant* decrease in severe outcomes across all cohorts *and it is not.*

What's worse is that a third event (infection) **after recovering and then being jabbed** led to **increases** in severe disease risk if you got a breakthrough, and quite-materially so, over simple recovery.

**This argues that jabbing a recovered person, while it may produce apparent superior resistance to infection, is in fact worthless or worse because when adjusted for the severe event risk the reinfection and severe outcome risk is actually HIGHER if you got vaccinated after being infected.**

**And finally this data also demonstrates that being infected after vaccination produces a materially faster immunity decay than infection alone which is solid evidence that vaccination in fact materially impairs the natural immunity process. That is, aside from direct side effect risk it screws your natural immunity duration when, not if, you get infected after being vaccinated anyway.**

Nobody cares if you sneeze *and indeed sneezing, that is, a mild infection, boosts immunity naturally and thus is a **positive** event* both personally and for society as a whole.

*Only severe and worse outcomes count.*

Comments.....

User: Not logged on

[Login](#)

[Register](#)

[Top](#)

[Blog Top](#)

[Blog Topics](#)

[FAQ](#)

Showing Page 1 of 6 [First](#) [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [Last](#)

User Info	Debate Is Over Folks; Facts Came In in forum [Market-Ticker-Nad]
<p><b>Boredfree</b>                      Posts: 101                      Incept: 2021-09-15                      western slope colorado                      8 0                      OFF TOPIC!   </p>	<p>Mornings I like to peruse the various Propaganda outlets to read their spin on the facts.</p> <p>I'm surprised anyone in mainstream news is able to stand - as much as they have been spinning so hard and for so long they must be dizzy.</p> <p>We need to buy Karl a super-sized flag pole for the yard with lightning so he could hoist a giant "I TOLD YOU SO" flag like the Trump idiots do with the latest trump 2024 flags.</p> <p>Except Karl's flag would be right.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The problem is most people want to point a finger rather than their thumb when dealing with challenges.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 08:54:59                      From PDA  <a href="#">Permalink</a></p>
<p><b>Thelazer</b>                      Posts: 777                      Incept: 2009-05-11                      Davenport, Fl                      2 1                      OFF TOPIC!   </p>	<p>The Stab *****'s (er, cults) won't hear of it.                      You can't reason with em.</p> <p>That said, I wonder if Israel will be the first to "reverse course" and pause all stabs once this is per reviewed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 09:02:30  <a href="#">Permalink</a></p>
<p><b>Lavalle</b>                      Posts: 81                      Incept: 2021-10-22                      7 0                      OFF TOPIC!   </p>	<p>Amen Karl!</p> <p>Very good article (as always) but someone please wake me up when Adolf Fasci gets arrested.</p> <p>Heard word from a friend at my previous employer that now boosters are mandatory as of Monday and everyone has to get it by February 4th!</p> <p>This is beyond insanity, it only makes sense if you turn the script around and see that the plan is to kill as many people as possible!</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 09:04:11                      From PDA</p>

[Permalink](#)**Engineertype**Posts: 47  
Incept: 2020-03-20

Granville NY

**6** **0**OFF  
TOPIC!

Wow! Just wow. In My mind, this proves that the intent to to kill as many as possible.

2021-12-07 09:13:07

[Permalink](#)**Wishiunderstood**Posts: 104  
Incept: 2008-12-17

NY

**Online****3** **0**OFF  
TOPIC!

"It's not peer reviewed" as the "PhD microbiologist college professor" who "understands science" with "over 30 years of experience in scientific research and published peer reviewed papers of his own" would say (which is why I won't bother sending this to him)...

Makes you wonder if the truth will ever be acknowledged when both the dumb and the supposedly smart refuse to see it.

Great summary for us dumb ones, LOL.

2021-12-07 09:13:15

*From PDA*[Permalink](#)**Lavalle**Posts: 81  
Incept: 2021-10-22**1** **0**OFF  
TOPIC!

@Thelazer Re: Israel

They might stop, but not before they finish the 7X their population number of doses they bought:

[https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/anot....](https://www.haaretz.com/israel-news/anot...)

2021-12-07 09:19:52

*From PDA*[Permalink](#)**Imhotep**Posts: 197  
Incept: 2013-07-18

United States

**3** **0**OFF  
TOPIC!

Co-worker that works under me got infected last year...got the jab after and was talking about getting the booster to go on a cruise. I told her she's nuts and she, obviously, has been tuning out all the videos/interviews I play in the background in my office (we share door between offices that is always open). These are "intelligent" people, too. I just can't grasp it.

2021-12-07 09:31:57

[Permalink](#)**Whitehat**Posts: 6582  
Incept: 2017-06-27

Elsewhere

**Online****10** **0**

Well there has been this argument that Israel produces a lot of great intellectual and technology product. They just provided the world with a stunning piece of real world scientific research using themselves as the test subjects.

Now let's discuss ethics.

-----



"Better to reign in Hell, than serve in Heaven," Satan's monologue in the first book of John Milton's Paradise Lost

2021-12-07 09:39:06

[Permalink](#)

## Superdude

Posts: 1088

Incept: 2009-06-16



Meanwhile back at the Ranch, (aka Illinois)

Lax vax axe? Legislator calls proposal to put unvaccinated on the hook for their \*\*\*\*\*-19 expenses a starting point

### Quote:

Unvaccinated Illinoisans would have to pay for their health care expenses including hospital bills out of pocket if they contract \*\*\*\*\*-19 under legislation filed Monday in the Illinois House.

State Rep. Jonathan Carrolls bill is likely to face legal challenges and political pushback, but the Northbrook Democrat downplayed those concerns, saying it all boils down to frustration between those who have been following the science and ... trying to do the right thing and those who are choosing not to get vaccinated, who are able to, for whatever they choose.

I think its time that we say You choose not to get vaccinated, then youre also going to assume the risk that if you do catch \*\*\*\*\* , and you get sick, the responsibility is on you, Carroll said.

Carrolls legislation would amend the states insurance code so that a person who is eligible to receive a \*\*\*\*\*-19 \*\*\*\*\* and chooses not to be vaccinated shall pay for health care expenses out-of-pocket if the person becomes hospitalized because of \*\*\*\*\*-19 symptoms.

That update would affect individual health or accident insurance policies issued or renewed on or after Jan. 1, 2023.

This is becoming a disease now of the unvaccinated, Carroll said.

<https://chicago.suntimes.com/politics/20....>

2021-12-07 09:39:16

[Permalink](#)

**Ewtnewbie2**  
 Posts: 85  
 Incept: 2021-09-13

15 0  
 OFF TOPIC!

@SuperDude THAT outcome might actually be a GREAT one! Why?

Admitted to the Hospital, not going to pay them, and just ask for Ivermectin, budesamide, etc.... cheap, fits in a bag, and let them go. No vent (\$\$\$) or Remdisavir (\$\$\$)...perfect!!!!

2021-12-07 09:52:38  
[Permalink](#)

**Moconserv**  
 Posts: 67  
 Incept: 2013-02-13

Central Missouri

21 0  
 OFF TOPIC!

@Superdude.... I would venture to say that the bulk of the unvaxed ARE already paying their own \*\*\*\*\*-19 treatment expenses. Vitamin I, HCQ, Vitamin D, etc... hell - even pony paste!

I think it's time the good people of Illinois read Karl's first Ticker about killing Hitler. Seems we have lots and lots of Hitlers in the USA.

-----  
 Beyond pissed....

2021-12-07 09:54:47  
[Permalink](#)

**Uncephalized**  
 Posts: 14  
 Incept: 2021-11-14

5 0  
 OFF TOPIC!

Thanks @Tickerguy. Another brick in the Great Wall of Truth, whose existence most of the world will studiously ignore as they ram their heads into it, repeatedly and at speed...

2021-12-07 09:54:50  
[Permalink](#)

**Jpg**  
 Posts: 566  
 Incept: 2009-03-23

MI

26 0  
 OFF TOPIC!

**Quote:**

Unvaccinated Illinoisans would have to pay for their health care expenses including hospital bills out of pocket if they contract \*\*\*\*\*-19

Does the proposed legislation also require the morbidly-obese to pay for their coronary-bypass surgery and knee replacements out-of-pocket?

2021-12-07 09:54:52  
[Permalink](#)

**Media\_guy**  
 Posts: 20  
 Incept: 2021-10-15

24 0  
 OFF TOPIC!

I had two antibody tests taken last week. One was a rapid test and the other a Roche lab test. Both showed positive antibodies, and yet my doc still suggests \*\*\*\*\*. Can't trust anyone these days.

My NYC office has mandated return in Jan and that we have to report vax status by end of week. I just deleted the e-mail. These people deserve no respect. Mandate what you want, I will force you to fire me with documentation. It will prove useful in discovery that the company provided info states that the \*\*\*\*\* is FDA approved when Comirnaty is not

available anywhere and that a Judge has said the legal distinction matters with regards to the DoD mandate.

I can't wait for all of these clowns to fall on their swords. The liability will be delicious.

2021-12-07 10:00:35

[Permalink](#)

## Heartlander

Posts: 1126

Incept: 2021-02-25

Kansas

28 0

OFF  
TOPIC!



### Quote:

the plan is to kill as many people as possible

I have tried to remember when I first figured that out. It took a while, since normalcy bias keeps you from seeing something so monstrous, but I believe I first started getting suspicious when I saw the Establishment's lightning-fast, brutal attack on Trump's benign comment that hydroxychloroquine might be a promising thing to look into. TPTB's attack on the very POSSIBILITY that some inexpensive, proven-safe drug MIGHT be useful to treat \*\*\*\*\* was so disproportionate and deranged that methought I smelled a rat.

My suspicion was confirmed when I read a story on LifeSiteNews not long afterward reporting that the huge police force of Mumbai, India, had had ZERO cases of \*\*\*\*\* since beginning prophylaxis of all members with hydroxychloroquine and zinc.

Not long after that came the news that one of the most densely populated slums in the world, also in India, had drastically decreased \*\*\*\*\* infections -- and gotten severe illness down to nearly zero -- using that same combination of hydroxychloroquine and zinc (plus I think either azithromycin or doxycycline).

The fact that this was NOT welcomed as the extraordinary good news that it was -- nay, it was NOT EVEN REPORTED HERE in the establishment media -- told me definitively and conclusively that TPTB really do WANT us to die.

2021-12-07 10:01:16

From PDA

[Permalink](#)

## Whossane

Posts: 184

Incept: 2018-01-25

1 0

OFF  
TOPIC!



This study makes no allowance for false positives in the recovered prior to 2nd infection cohort. It also excludes anyone in that same cohort who had recovered but didn't get tested or test positive. The rate of 2nd infection in the recovered population could easily be half of what the study says.

2021-12-07 10:14:32

[Permalink](#)

## Disgusted

Posts: 199

Incept: 2021-07-20

More food for thought: So, the Moronic strain came from South Africa where practically no one is vaxxed. It appears that it's not anywhere near as deadly as the other strains before. Could it be that this mutation happened there because of the fact that hardly anyone is vaxxed there and the virus mutated naturally like history has shown all viruses do? And the

**10** **0**  
  

virus didn't mutate normally here because the fake \*\*\*\*\*s short circuited the natural process? And, this crap would have been over by last spring if the greedy NAZI maniacs hadn't \*\*\*\*\*ed with mother nature?

2021-12-07 10:15:02  
[Permalink](#)

**Redjack**  
 Posts: 1003  
 Incept: 2018-01-29  
 Iowa  
**Online**  
**2** **0**  
  

Jpg,

No it does not. Nor the cost for the serial addicts who clog up the ER, the opiate seekers, or anything else self inflicted "Because no one chooses to be an addict!"

BS. You do. It is a choice. It is always a choice. The addict just doesn't want to chose the hard road.

2021-12-07 10:15:17  
[Permalink](#)

**Tickerguy**  
 Posts: 179279  
 Incept: 2007-06-26  
  
**3** **0**  
  

@Whossane - True, but that confounder applies to all the cohorts, which is why, when you get down to it, the severe rate is the one to look at -- and where the bad news is found.

-----  
*I don't give a flying \*\*\*\*\* if you're offended.*

2021-12-07 10:16:24  
[Permalink](#)

**Whossane**  
 Posts: 184  
 Incept: 2018-01-25  
**1** **0**  
  

@Tickerguy - Right but if the undiagnosed came from within the study population they would decrease the denominator in the booster and vaccinated groups and increase it in the recovered. It looks like this study is a "best case scenario" for the "\*\*\*\*\*s"

2021-12-07 10:29:42  
[Permalink](#)

**Cheetah9**  
 Posts: 373  
 Incept: 2021-02-15  
 Northern Alabama  
**Online**  
**12** **0**  
  

I'd share this with my dad if he was still reasonable. Over the last 6 months he has become more of an ass. I have completely stopped alerting him of stuff because he has chosen the step \*\*\*\*\* and it's opinion on this \*\*\*\*\* which is straight up MSNBC....she might as well be the white Joy Reid. \*\*\*\*\*ing crazy. Dad has become a simp bitch and just can't even entertain a different pov. FINE! He tells me on Sunday that this omicron is serious son. You and Will need to take every precaution. Ok dad.....will do. Thanks.

FFS! There is nothing. Just nothing that can change any minds at this point. I've ran out of tears. I just wait for the phone call that so and so has died. I will say that is terrible; thanks for letting me know.....click.

2021-12-07 10:39:28  
[Permalink](#)

<p><b>Dnomsted</b>          Posts: 345          Incept: 2021-05-18</p> <p>5 0    </p>	<p>Pfizer post-marketing data review on the damage seen in the adverse reactions.</p> <p>Warning, this is not for the faint-hearted.</p> <p><a href="https://celiafarber.substack.com/p/court...">https://celiafarber.substack.com/p/court...</a></p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 10:44:34  <i>From PDA</i>  <a href="#">Permalink</a></p>
<p><b>Cynicdeluxe</b>          Posts: 47          Incept: 2020-02-07</p> <p>11 0    </p>	<p>@Ewtnewbie2</p> <p>You're not getting it... the healthcare system wants to charge the unvaxxed for the UNredeemed toe tags and UNused Rundeathisnear!</p> <p>You're not costing them money, as such, but you're bloody-well NOT making their Tesla payments, either.</p> <p>HOW DARE YOU!!!</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 11:01:47  <i>From PDA</i>  <a href="#">Permalink</a></p>
<p><b>Susanlauren</b>          Posts: 953          Incept: 2021-05-01</p> <p>16 0    </p>	<p>I do not expect any apologies from the powers that be. What I expect is a doubling down of "you must get vaxxed. You must get the booster. Everyone must do this so we can get the (next variant) of the pandemic under control."</p> <p>That is what I expect - court cases granting injunctions against the vaxx mandates and dead bodies notwithstanding. We see employers still pushing ahead with their company mandates and policies.</p> <p>Data from Israel be damned. They don't care what the data shows. It will not be reported and it is, it will be spun to an interpretation to support the official narrative.</p> <p>We are witnessing the very definition of insanity - doing the same thing that did not and does not work over again (and again) and expecting different results. I am glad Israel published the data.</p> <p>Thank you for the ticker and analysis Karl. Appreciate it.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2021-12-07 11:19:35  <i>From PDA</i>  <a href="#">Permalink</a></p>

[Login](#)   [Register](#)   [Top](#)   [Blog Top](#)   [Blog Topics](#)   [FAQ](#)

Showing Page 1 of 6 [First](#) [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [Last](#)

Click for DMCA (<http://www.tickerforum.org/dmca.html>) and User Conduct (<http://www.tickerforum.org/usercomplaint.html>) complaints, along with our privacy policy. (<http://tickerforum.org/faq.html#privacy>)

